

NEXT GENERATION INTRUSION PREVENTION SYSTEM (NGIPS) TEST REPORT

Palo Alto Networks PA-5250 v8.0.3-h4

(Vendor-Provided Settings)

NOVEMBER 7, 2017

Authors – Thomas Williams, Michael Shirley

Overview

NSS Labs performed an independent test of the Palo Alto Networks PA-5250 v8.0.3-h4. The product was subjected to thorough testing at the NSS facility in Austin, Texas, based on the Next Generation Intrusion Prevention System (NGIPS) Test Methodology v3.1 available at www.nsslabs.com. This test was conducted free of charge and NSS did not receive any compensation in return for Palo Alto Networks' participation.

Vendor-Provided Settings

NGIPS products are deployed at the perimeter of a corporate network as well as within the network to protect employee desktops, laptops, and PCs. NSS research has determined that the majority of enterprises do not tune their NGIPS products, but rather rely on a vendor's default/recommended policies and settings. This product was tested using vendor-provided settings, i.e., the signatures/filters/rules that trigger false positives were turned off in order to replicate an enterprise environment.

This Test Report provides results of the product tested as configured and submitted by the vendor.

Product	Exploit Block Rate ¹ NSS-Tested Throughput		3-Year TCO (US\$)	
Palo Alto Networks PA-5250 v8.0.3-h4	99.81%	17,194 Mbps	\$140,100	
	False Positives	Evasions Blocked	Stability and Reliability	
	PASS	155/157	PASS	

Figure 1 – Overall Test Results

Using the vendor-provided settings, the PA-5250 blocked 99.81% of attacks. The device blocked 155 out of the 157 evasions it was tested against. The device passed all of the stability and reliability tests.

The PA-5250 is rated by NSS at 17,194 Mbps, which is lower than the vendor-claimed performance (Palo Alto Networks rates this device at 20 Gbps). NSS-tested throughput is calculated as an average of all of the "real-world" protocol mixes and the 21 KB HTTP response-based capacity tests.

¹ Exploit block rate is defined as the number of live exploits (CAWS) and exploits from the NSS Exploit Library blocked under test.

Table of Contents

Overview	2
Vendor-Provided Settings	2
Security Effectiveness	5
Vendor-Provided Settings	5
False Positive Testing	5
CAWS (Live Exploits)	5
Exploit Library	6
Coverage by Attack Vector	6
Coverage by Impact Type	7
Coverage by Date	7
Coverage by Target Vendor	8
Coverage by Target Type	8
Resistance to Evasion Techniques	8
Performance	10
Maximum Capacity	10
HTTP Connections per Second and Capacity	12
HTTP Capacity with No Transaction Delays	12
HTTP Capacity with Transaction Delays	13
Application Average Response Time – HTTP	13
Real-World Traffic Mixes	14
Raw Packet Processing Performance (UDP Throughput)	14
Raw Packet Processing Performance (UDP Latency)	15
Stability and Reliability	16
Total Cost of Ownership (TCO)	17
Installation Hours	17
Total Cost of Ownership	18
Appendix A: Product Scorecard	19
Test Methodology	26
Contact Information	26

Table of Figures

Figure 1 – Overall Test Results	2
Figure 2 – Number of Threat Encounters Blocked (%)	5
Figure 3 – Number of Exploits Blocked (%)	6
Figure 4 – Coverage by Attack Vector	6
Figure 5 – Product Coverage by Date	7
Figure 6 – Product Coverage by Target Vendor	8
Figure 7 – Resistance to Evasion Results	9
Figure 8 – Concurrency and Connection Rates	11
Figure 9 – HTTP Connections per Second and Capacity	12
Figure 10 – HTTP Capacity with Transaction Delays	13
Figure 11 – Average Application Response Time (Milliseconds)	13
Figure 12 – Real-World Traffic Mixes	14
Figure 13 – Raw Packet Processing Performance (UDP Traffic)	15
Figure 14 – UDP Latency in Microseconds	15
Figure 15 – Stability and Reliability Results	16
Figure 16 – Sensor Installation Time (Hours)	17
Figure 17–3-Year TCO (US\$)	
Figure 18 – Detailed Scorecard	25

Security Effectiveness

While the companion Comparative Reports on security, performance, and total cost of ownership (TCO) provide information about all tested products, this Test Report provides detailed information not available elsewhere.

This section verifies that the device under test is capable of enforcing the security policy effectively.

Vendor-Provided Settings

The policy used in this group test is as follows:

- Software Version: 8.0.3-h4
- Application Version: 724-4171
- Threat Version: 724-4171
- Antivirus Version: 2301-2792
- Wildfire Version: Disabled in tested configuration
- URL Filtering Version: Disabled in tested configuration
- File Blocking: Disabled in tested configuration
- Data Filtering: Disabled in tested configuration

False Positive Testing

The PA-5250 alerted on non-malicious test traffic. The following signatures had to be disabled to prevent the device from alerting on non-malicious test traffic:

• Suspicious Abnormal HTTP Response Found (40393)

CAWS (Live Exploits)

For live testing, NSS employs a unique live test harness, the CAWS Continuous Security Validation Platform, to measure how well security products protect against "drive-by" exploits that target client applications.

CAWS captures thousands of suspicious URLs per day from threat data generated from NSS and its customers as well as data from open-source and commercial threat feeds. This list of URLs is optimized and assigned to victim machines, each of which has a unique combination of operating system (including service pack/patch level), browser, and client application. For further details, please visit www.nsslabs.com.

Figure 2 depicts the threat encounter block rate for the PA-5250.

Product	CAWS (Live Exploits)	Total Number	Block
	Threat Encounters	Blocked	Percentage
Palo Alto Networks PA-5250 v8.0.3-h4	1,445	1,442	99.79%

Figure 2 – Number of Threat Encounters Blocked (%)

Exploit Library

NSS' security effectiveness testing leverages the deep expertise of our engineers who utilize multiple commercial, open-source, and proprietary tools, including NSS' network live stack test environment² as appropriate. With 2,348 exploits, this is the industry's most comprehensive test to date. Most notably, all of the exploits and payloads in this test have been validated such that:

- A reverse shell is returned
- A bind shell is opened on the target, allowing the attacker to execute arbitrary commands
- Arbitrary code is executed
- A malicious payload is installed
- A system is rendered unresponsive
- Etc.

Product	Total Number	Total Number	Block
	of Exploits Run	Blocked	Percentage
Palo Alto Networks PA-5250 v8.0.3-h4	2,348	2,344	99.83%

Figure 3 – Number of Exploits Blocked (%)

Coverage by Attack Vector

Because a failure to block attacks could result in significant compromise and could severely impact critical business systems, network intrusion prevention systems should be evaluated against a broad set of exploits. Exploits can be categorized as either *attacker-initiated* or *target-initiated*. Attacker-initiated exploits are threats executed remotely against a vulnerable application and/or operating system by an individual, while target-initiated exploits are initiated by the vulnerable target. Target-initiated exploits are the most common type of attack experienced by the end user, and the attacker has little or no control as to when the threat is executed.



Figure 4 – Coverage by Attack Vector

² See the NSS Cyber Advanced Warning System[™] for more details.

Coverage by Impact Type

The most serious exploits are those that result in a remote system compromise, providing the attacker with the ability to execute arbitrary system-level commands. Most exploits in this class are "weaponized" and offer the attacker a fully interactive remote shell on the target client or server. Slightly less serious are attacks that result in an individual service compromise, but not arbitrary system-level command execution. Finally, there are attacks that result in a system- or service-level fault that crashes the targeted service or application and requires administrative action to restart the service or reboot the system. Clients can contact NSS for more information about these tests.

Coverage by Date

Figure 5 provides insight into whether or not a vendor is aging out protection signatures aggressively enough to preserve performance levels. It also reveals whether a product lags behind in protection for the most current vulnerabilities. NSS reports exploits by individual years for the past ten years. Exploits older than ten years are grouped together.





Coverage by Target Vendor

Exploits within the *NSS Exploit Library* target a wide range of protocols and applications. Figure 6 depicts the coverage offered by the PA-5250 for some of the top vendor targets (out of more than 70) represented for this round of testing.



Figure 6 – Product Coverage by Target Vendor

Coverage by Target Type

These tests determine the protection provided against different types of exploits based on the target environment, for example, web server, web browser, database, ActiveX, Java, browser plugins, etc. Further details are available to NSS clients via inquiry call.

Resistance to Evasion Techniques

Evasion techniques are a means of disguising and modifying attacks at the point of delivery to avoid detection and blocking by security products. Failure of a security device to correctly identify a specific type of evasion potentially allows an attacker to use an entire class of exploits for which the device is assumed to have protection. This renders the device virtually useless. Many of the techniques used in this test have been widely known for years and should be considered minimum requirements for the NGIPS product category.

Providing exploit protection results without fully factoring in evasion can be misleading. The more classes of evasion that are missed (such as HTTP evasion, IP packet fragmentation, stream segmentation, RPC fragmentation, URL obfuscation, HTML obfuscation, and FTP evasion), the less effective the device. For example, it is better to miss all techniques in one evasion category, such as FTP evasion, than one technique in each category, which would result in a broader attack surface.

Furthermore, evasions operating at the lower layers of the network stack (IP packet fragmentation or stream segmentation) have a greater impact on security effectiveness than those operating at the upper layers (URL or FTP obfuscation.) Lower-level evasions will potentially impact a wider number of exploits; missing TCP segmentation, for example, is a much more serious issue than missing FTP obfuscation.

Figure 7 provides the results of the evasion tests for the Palo Alto NetworksPA-5250. The PA-5250 blocked 155 out of the 157 evasions it was tested against. For further detail, please reference Appendix A.

Test Procedure	Result
IP Packet Fragmentation	PASS
TCP Stream Segmentation	PASS
RPC Fragmentation	PASS
SMB & NetBIOS Evasion	PASS
URL Obfuscation	PASS
HTML Obfuscation	FAIL
FTP/Telnet Evasion	PASS
Payload Encoding	PASS
IP Packet Fragmentation + TCP Segmentation	PASS
IP Fragmentation + MSRPC Fragmentation	PASS
IP Fragmentation + SMB Evasions	PASS
TCP Segmentation + SMB/NetBIOS Evasions	PASS
TCP Split Handshake	PASS
HTTP Evasion	PASS

Figure 7 – Resistance to Evasion Results

Performance

There is frequently a trade-off between security effectiveness and performance. Because of this trade-off, it is important to judge a product's security effectiveness within the context of its performance and vice versa. This ensures that new security protections do not adversely impact performance and that security shortcuts are not taken to maintain or improve performance.

Maximum Capacity

The use of traffic generation appliances allows NSS engineers to create "real-world" traffic at multi-Gigabit speeds as a background load for the tests. The aim of these tests is to stress the inspection engine and determine how it copes with high volumes of TCP connections per second, application layer transactions per second, and concurrent open connections. All packets contain valid payload and address data, and these tests provide an excellent representation of a live network at various connection/transaction rates.

Note that in all tests the following critical "breaking points" — where the final measurements are taken — are used:

- Excessive concurrent TCP connections Latency within the NGIPS is causing an unacceptable increase in open connections.
- Excessive concurrent HTTP connections Latency within the NGIPS is causing excessive delays and increased response time.
- **Unsuccessful HTTP transactions** Normally, there should be zero unsuccessful transactions. Once these appear, it is an indication that excessive latency within the NGIPS is causing connections to time out.



Figure 8 – Concurrency and Connection Rates

NSS Labs

HTTP Connections per Second and Capacity

The aim of these tests is to stress the HTTP detection engine and determine how the device copes with network loads of varying average packet size and varying connections per second. By creating genuine session-based traffic with varying session lengths, the device is forced to track valid TCP sessions, thus ensuring a higher workload than for simple packet-based background traffic. This provides a test environment that is as close to real-world conditions as possible, while ensuring absolute accuracy and repeatability.

HTTP Capacity with No Transaction Delays

Each transaction consists of a single HTTP GET request and there are no transaction delays; i.e., the web server responds immediately to all requests. All packets contain valid payload (a mix of binary and ASCII objects) and address data. This test provides an excellent representation of a live network (albeit one biased toward HTTP traffic) at various network loads.



Figure 9 – HTTP Connections per Second and Capacity

HTTP Capacity with Transaction Delays

Typical user behavior introduces delays between requests and reponses (for example, "think time") as users read web pages and decide which links to click next. This group of tests is identical to the previous group except that these include a five-second delay in the server response for each transaction. This has the effect of maintaining a high number of open connections throughout the test, thus forcing the sensor to utilize additional resources to track those connections.





Application Average Response Time – HTTP

Application Average Response Time – HTTP (at 95% Maximum Load)	Milliseconds
44 KB Response	2.07
21 KB Response	1.16
10 KB Response	1.04
4.5 KB Response	8.20
1.7 KB Response	0.03

Figure 11 – Average Application Response Time (Milliseconds)

Real-World Traffic Mixes

This test measures the performance of the device in a "real-world" environment by introducing additional protocols and real content, while still maintaining a precisely repeatable and consistent background traffic load. Different protocol mixes are utilized based on the intended location of the device (network core or perimeter) to reflect real use cases. For details about real-world traffic protocol types and percentages, see the NSS Labs Next Generation Intrusion Prevention System Test Methodology, available at www.nsslabs.com.



Figure 12 – Real-World Traffic Mixes

The PA-5250 was tested by NSS and performed above the throughput claimed by the vendor for all "real-world" traffic mixes except for the Financial mix, where it performed below its vendor-claimed throughput.

Raw Packet Processing Performance (UDP Throughput)

This test uses UDP packets of varying sizes generated by test equipment. A constant stream of the appropriate packet size, with variable source and destination IP addresses transmitting from a fixed source port to a fixed destination port, is transmitted bidirectionally through each port pair of the device.

Each packet contains dummy data and is targeted at a valid port on a valid IP address on the target subnet. The percentage load and frames per second (fps) figures across each inline port pair are verified by network monitoring tools before each test begins. Multiple tests are run and averages are taken where necessary.

This traffic does not attempt to simulate any form of a "real-world" network condition. No TCP sessions are created during this test, and there is very little for the state engine to do. The aim of this test is to determine the raw packet processing capability of each inline port pair of the device, and to determine the device's effectiveness at forwarding packets quickly, in order to provide the highest level of network performance with the least amount of latency.



Figure 13 – Raw Packet Processing Performance (UDP Traffic)

Raw Packet Processing Performance (UDP Latency)

NGIPS that introduce high levels of latency lead to unacceptable response times for users, especially where multiple security devices are placed in the data path. Figure 14 depicts UDP latency (in microseconds) as recorded during the UDP throughput tests at 95% of maximum load.

Latency – UDP	Microseconds
64-Byte Packets	9.73
128-Byte Packets	10.01
256-Byte Packets	8.49
512-Byte Packets	8.79
1024-Byte Packets	12.93
1514-Byte Packets	13.70

Figure 14 – UDP Latency in Microseconds

Stability and Reliability

Long-term stability is particularly important for an inline device, where failure can produce network outages. These tests verify the stability of the device along with its ability to maintain security effectiveness while under normal load and while passing malicious traffic. Products that cannot sustain legitimate traffic (or that crash) while under hostile attack will not pass.

The device is required to remain operational and stable throughout these tests, and to block 100% of previously blocked traffic, raising an alert for each. If any non-allowed traffic passes successfully, caused either by the volume of traffic or by the device failing open for any reason, it will fail the test.

Stability and Reliability	Result
Blocking under Extended Attack	PASS
Passing Legitimate Traffic under Extended Attack	PASS
Power Fail	PASS
Redundancy ³	YES
Persistence of Data	PASS

Figure 15 – Stability and Reliability Results

These tests also determine the behavior of the state engine under load. All NGIPS devices must choose whether to risk denying legitimate traffic or risk allowing malicious traffic once they run low on resources. A product will drop new connections when resources (such as state table memory) are low, or when traffic loads exceed its capacity. In theory, this means the NGIPS will block legitimate traffic but maintain state on existing connections (and prevent attack leakage).

³ A device is considered to provide redundancy if it includes multiple redundant critical components, such as fans, power supplies, hard drives, etc.

Total Cost of Ownership (TCO)

Implementation of security solutions can be complex, with several factors affecting the overall cost of deployment, maintenance, and upkeep. Each of the following should be considered over the course of the useful life of the solution:

- Product Purchase The cost of acquisition
- Product Maintenance The fees paid to the vendor, including software and hardware support, maintenance, and other updates
- Installation The time required to take the device out of the box, configure it, put it into the network, apply updates and patches, and set up desired logging and reporting
- **Upkeep** The time required to apply periodic updates and patches from vendors, including hardware, software, and other updates
- Management Day-to-day management tasks, including device configuration, policy updates, policy deployment, alert handling, and so on

For the purposes of this report, capital expenditure (capex) items are included for a single device only (the cost of acquisition and installation).

Installation Hours

This table depicts the number of hours of labor required to install each device using only local device management options. The table accurately reflects the amount of time that NSS engineers, with the help of vendor engineers, needed to install and configure the device to the point where it operated successfully in the test harness, passed legitimate traffic, and blocked and detected prohibited or malicious traffic. This closely mimics a typical enterprise deployment scenario for a single device.

The installation cost is based on the time that an experienced security engineer would require to perform the installation tasks described above. This approach allows NSS to hold constant the talent cost and measure only the difference in time required for installation. Readers should substitute their own costs to obtain accurate TCO figures.

Product	Installation (Hours)
Palo Alto Networks PA-5250 v8.0.3-h4	8

Figure 16 – Sensor Installation Time (Hours)

Total Cost of Ownership

Calculations are based on vendor-provided pricing information. Where possible, the 24/7 maintenance and support option with 24-hour replacement is utilized, since this is the option typically selected by enterprise customers. Prices are for single device management and maintenance only; costs for central management solutions (CMS) may be extra.

Product	Purchase Price	Maintenance /Year	Year 1 Cost	Year 2 Cost	Year 3 Cost	3-Year TCO
Palo Alto Networks PA-5250 v8.0.3-h4	\$75,000	\$21,500	\$97,100	\$21,500	\$21,500	\$140,100

Figure 17 –3-Year TCO (US\$)

- Year 1 Cost is calculated by adding installation costs (US\$75 per hour fully loaded labor x installation time) + purchase price + three-years of maintenance/support fees.
- Year 2 Cost consists of update fees and maintenance/support fees.
- Year 3 Cost consists of update fees and maintenance/support fees.

For additional TCO analysis, including for the CMS, refer to the TCO Comparative Report.

Appendix A: Product Scorecard

Security Effectiveness	
Intrusion Prevention Policies	
False Positive Testing	PASS
Coverage by Attack Vector (NSS Exploit Library)	
Attacker-Initiated	99.64%
Target-Initiated	100.00%
Combined Total	99.83%
Coverage by Impact Type	
System Exposure	Contact NSS
Service Exposure	Contact NSS
System or Service Fault	Contact NSS
Coverage by Date	Contact NSS
Coverage by Target Vendor	Contact NSS
Coverage by Result	Contact NSS
Coverage by Target Type	Contact NSS
Exploit Block Rate	99.81
CAWS (Live Exploits) Block Rate	99.79%
NSS Exploit Library Block Rate	99.83%
Evasions and Attack Leakage	
Resistance to Evasion	FAIL
IP Packet Fragmentation	PASS
Ordered 8 byte fragments	PASS
Ordered 16 byte fragments	PASS
Ordered 24 byte fragments	PASS
Ordered 32 byte fragments	PASS
Out of order 8 byte fragments	PASS
Ordered 8 byte fragments, duplicate last packet	PASS
Out of order 8 byte fragments, duplicate last packet	PASS
Ordered 8 byte fragments, reorder fragments in reverse	PASS
Ordered 16 byte fragments, fragment overlap (favor new)	PASS
Ordered 16 byte fragments, fragment overlap (favor old)	PASS
Out of order 8 byte fragments, interleaved duplicate packets scheduled for later delivery	PASS
Ordered 8 byte fragments, duplicate packet with an incrementing DWORD in the options field. The duplicate	PASS
Ordered 16 byte fragments, duplicate packet with an incrementing DWORD in the options field. The duplicate packet has random payload.	PASS
Ordered 24 byte fragments, duplicate packet with an incrementing DWORD in the options field. The duplicate packet has random payload.	PASS
Ordered 32 byte fragments, duplicate packet with an incrementing DWORD in the options field. The duplicate	PASS
packet has random payload.	
TCP Stream Segmentation	PASS
Ordered 1 byte segments, interleaved duplicate segments with invalid TCP checksums	PASS
Ordered 1 byte segments, interleaved duplicate segments with null TCP control flags	PASS
Ordered 1 byte segments, interleaved duplicate segments with requests to resync sequence numbers mid- stream	PASS
Ordered 1 byte segments, duplicate last packet	PASS
Ordered 2 byte segments, segment overlap (favor new)	PASS
Ordered 1 byte segments, interleaved duplicate segments with out-of-window sequence numbers	PASS
Out of order 1 byte segments	PASS

	DACC
Out of order 1 byte segments, interleaved duplicate segments with faked retransmits	PASS
Ordered 1 byte segments, segment overlap (favor new)	PASS
Out of order 1 byte segments, PAWS elimination (interleaved duplicate segments with older TCP timestamp options)	PASS
Ordered 16 byte segments, segment overlap (favor new (Unix))	PASS
Ordered 32 byte segments	PASS
Ordered 64 byte segments	PASS
Ordered 128 byte segments	PASS
Ordered 256 byte segments	PASS
Ordered 512 byte segments	PASS
Ordered 1024 byte segments	PASS
Ordered 2048 byte segments (sending MSRPC request with exploit)	PASS
Payorea Ordered 256 byte segments (sending Mistre Crequest with exploit)	PASS
Reverse Ordered 236 byte segments, segment overlap (lavor new) with random data	PASS
Reverse Ordered 512 byte segments, segment overlap (favor new) with random data	PASS
Reverse Ordered 1024 byte segments, segment overlap (favor new) with random data	PASS
Reverse Ordered 2048 byte segments, segment overlap (favor new) with random data	PASS
Out of order 1024 byte segments, segment overlap (favor new) with random data, Initial TCP sequence number is set to 0xffffffff - 4294967295	PASS
Out of order 2048 byte segments, segment overlap (favor new) with random data, Initial TCP sequence number is set to 0xffffffff - 4294967295	PASS
RPC Fragmentation	PASS
One-byte fragmentation (ONC)	PASS
Two-byte fragmentation (ONC)	PASS
All fragments, including Last Fragment (LF) will be sent in one TCP segment (ONC)	PASS
All frags except Last Fragment (LF) will be sent in one TCP segment. LF will be sent in separate TCP seg (ONC)	PASS
One RPC fragment will be sent per TCP segment (ONC)	PASS
One LE split over more than one TCP segment. In this case no RPC fragmentation is performed (ONC)	PASS
Canvas Peference Implementation Level 1 (MS)	PASS
Canvas Reference Implementation Level 2 (MS)	PASS
Canvas Reference Implementation Level 2 (MS)	
Canvas Reference Implementation Level 5 (MS)	PASS
	PASS
Canvas Reference Implementation Level 5 (IVIS)	PASS
Canvas Reference Implementation Level 6 (MS)	PASS
Canvas Reference Implementation Level 7 (MS)	PASS
Canvas Reference Implementation Level 8 (MS)	PASS
Canvas Reference Implementation Level 9 (MS)	PASS
Canvas Reference Implementation Level 10 (MS)	PASS
MSRPC messages are sent in the big endian byte order, 16 MSRPC fragments are sent in the same lower layer	PASS
message, MSRPC requests are fragmented to contain at most 2048 bytes of payload	
MSRPC messages are sent in the big endian byte order, 32 MSRPC fragments are sent in the same lower layer	PASS
MSRPC requests are tragmented to contain at most 2048 bytes of payload	
message. MSRPC requests are fragmented to contain at most 2048 hytes of navload	PASS
MSRPC messages are sent in the big endian byte order. 128 MSRPC fragments are sent in the same lower layer	
message, MSRPC requests are fragmented to contain at most 2048 bytes of payload	PASS
MSRPC messages are sent in the big endian byte order, 256 MSRPC fragments are sent in the same lower layer message MSRPC requests are fragmented to contain at most 2048 bytes of payload	PASS
MSRPC messages are sent in the big endian byte order, 512 MSRPC fragments are sent in the same lower layer	
message, MSRPC requests are fragmented to contain at most 2048 bytes of payload	PASS
MSRPC messages are sent in the big endian byte order, 1024 MSRPC fragments are sent in the same lower	DVCC
layer message, MSRPC requests are fragmented to contain at most 2048 bytes of payload	FA33
SMB & NetBIOS Evasions	PASS

A chaffed NetBIOS message is sent before the first actual NetBIOS message. The chaff message is an unspecified NetBIOS message with HTTP GET request like payload	PASS
A chaffed NetBIOS message is sent before the first actual NetBIOS message. The chaff message is an unspecified NetBIOS message with HTTP POST request like payload	PASS
A chaffed NetBIOS message is sent before the first actual NetBIOS message. The chaff message is an unspecified NetBIOS message with MSRPC request like payload	PASS
URL Obfuscation	PASS
URL encoding – Level 1 (minimal)	PASS
URL encoding – Level 2	PASS
URL encoding – Level 3	PASS
URL encoding – Level 4	PASS
URL encoding – Level 5	PASS
URL encoding – Level 6	PASS
URL encoding – Level 7	PASS
URL encoding – Level 8 (extreme)	PASS
Directory Insertion	PASS
Premature URL ending	PASS
Long URL	PASS
Fake parameter	PASS
TAB separation	PASS
Case sensitivity	PASS
Windows \ delimiter	PASS
Session splicing	PASS
HTML Obfuscation	FAIL
UTF-16 character set encoding (little-endian)	FAIL
UTF-32 character set encoding (little-endian)	FAIL
Chunked encoding (random chunk size)	PASS
Chunked encoding (fixed chunk size)	PASS
Chunked encoding (chaffing)	PASS
Compression (Deflate)	PASS
Compression (Gzip)	PASS
Base-64 Encoding	PASS
Base-64 Encoding (shifting 1 bit)	PASS
Base-64 Encoding (shifting 2 bits)	PASS
Base-64 Encoding (chaffing)	PASS
FTP/Telnet Evasion	PASS
Inserting spaces in FTP command lines	PASS
Inserting non-text Telnet opcodes – Level 1 (minimal)	PASS
Inserting non-text Telnet opcodes – Level 2	PASS
Inserting non-text Telnet opcodes – Level 3	PASS
Inserting non-text Telnet opcodes – Level 4	PASS
Inserting non-text Telnet opcodes – Level 5	PASS
Inserting non-text Telnet opcodes – Level 6	PASS
Inserting non-text Telnet opcodes – Level 7	PASS
Inserting non-text Telnet opcodes – Level 8 (extreme)	PASS
Payload Encoding	PASS
x86/call4_dword_xor	PASS
x86/fnstenv_mov	PASS
x86/jmp_call_additive	PASS
Layered Evasions	
IP Fragmentation + TCP Segmentation	PASS

NSS Labs

Ordered 8-byte fragments + Ordered TCP segments except that the last segment comes first	PASS
Ordered 24-byte fragments + Ordered TCP segments except that the last segment comes first	PASS
Ordered 32-byte fragments + Ordered TCP segments except that the last segment comes first	PASS
Ordered 8-byte fragments, duplicate packet with an incrementing DWORD in the options field. The duplicate packet has random payload + Reverse order TCP segments, segment overlap (favor new), Overlapping data is set to zero bytes	PASS
Ordered 16-byte fragments, duplicate packet with an incrementing DWORD in the options field. The duplicate packet has random payload + Out of order TCP segments, segment overlap (favor new), Overlapping data is set to zero bytes	PASS
Ordered 24-byte fragments, duplicate packet with an incrementing DWORD in the options field. The duplicate packet has random payload + Out of order TCP segments, segment overlap (favor new), Overlapping data is set to zero bytes	PASS
Ordered 32-byte fragments, duplicate packet with an incrementing DWORD in the options field. The duplicate packet has random payload + Out of order TCP segments, segment overlap (favor new), Overlapping data is set to zero bytes	PASS
Ordered 8-byte fragments, duplicate packet with an incrementing DWORD in the options field. The duplicate packet has random payload + Out of order TCP segments, segment overlap (favor new), Overlapping data is set to random alphanumeric	PASS
Ordered 16-byte fragments, duplicate packet with an incrementing DWORD in the options field. The duplicate packet has random payload + Out of order TCP segments, segment overlap (favor new), Overlapping data is set to random alphanumeric	PASS
Ordered 32-byte fragments, duplicate packet with an incrementing DWORD in the options field. The duplicate packet has random payload + Out of order TCP segments, segment overlap (favor new), Overlapping data is set to random alphanumeric	PASS
Ordered 8-byte fragments, duplicate packet with an incrementing DWORD in the options field. The duplicate packet has random payload + Out of order TCP segments, segment overlap (favor new), Overlapping data is set to random bytes	PASS
Ordered 16-byte fragments, duplicate packet with an incrementing DWORD in the options field. The duplicate packet has random payload + Out of order TCP segments, segment overlap (favor new), Overlapping data is set to random bytes	PASS
Ordered 24-byte fragments, duplicate packet with an incrementing DWORD in the options field. The duplicate packet has random payload + Out of order TCP segments, segment overlap (favor new), Overlapping data is set to random bytes	PASS
Ordered 32-byte fragments, duplicate packet with an incrementing DWORD in the options field. The duplicate packet has random payload + Out of order TCP segments, segment overlap (favor new), Overlapping data is set to random bytes	PASS
IP Fragmentation + MSRPC Fragmentation	PASS
Ordered 8 byte fragments, duplicate packet with an incrementing DWORD in the options field. The duplicate packet has a shuffled payload + MSRPC messages are sent in the big endian byte order with 8 MSRPC fragments sent in the same lower layer message. MSRPC requests are fragmented to contain at most 2048 bytes of payload.	PASS
Ordered 16 byte fragments, duplicate packet with an incrementing DWORD in the options field. The duplicate packet has a shuffled payload + MSRPC messages are sent in the big endian byte order with 16 MSRPC fragments sent in the same lower layer message. MSRPC requests are fragmented to contain at most 2048 bytes of payload.	PASS
Ordered 32 byte fragments, duplicate packet with an incrementing DWORD in the options field. The duplicate packet has a shuffled payload + MSRPC messages are sent in the big endian byte order with 32 MSRPC fragments sent in the same lower layer message. MSRPC requests are fragmented to contain at most 64 bytes of payload.	PASS
Ordered 64 byte fragments, duplicate packet with an incrementing DWORD in the options field. The duplicate packet has a shuffled payload + MSRPC messages are sent in the big endian byte order with 64 MSRPC fragments sent in the same lower layer message. MSRPC requests are fragmented to contain at most 64 bytes of payload.	PASS
Ordered 128 byte fragments, duplicate packet with an incrementing DWORD in the options field. The duplicate packet has a random payload + MSRPC messages are sent in the big endian byte order with 1024 MSRPC fragments sent in the same lower layer message. MSRPC requests are fragmented to contain at most 128 bytes	PASS

Ordered 256 byte fragments, duplicate packet with an incrementing DWORD in the options field. The duplicate packet has a random payload + MSRPC messages are sent in the big endian byte order with 1024 MSRPC fragments sent in the same lower layer message. MSRPC requests are fragmented to contain at most 256 bytes of payload.	PASS
Ordered 512 byte fragments, duplicate packet with an incrementing DWORD in the options field. The duplicate packet has a random payload + MSRPC messages are sent in the big endian byte order with 1024 MSRPC fragments sent in the same lower layer message. MSRPC requests are fragmented to contain at most 512 bytes of payload.	PASS
Ordered 1024 byte fragments, duplicate packet with an incrementing DWORD in the options field. The duplicate packet has a random payload + MSRPC messages are sent in the big endian byte order with 1024 MSRPC fragments sent in the same lower layer message. MSRPC requests are fragmented to contain at most 1024 bytes of payload.	PASS
IP Fragmentation + SMB Evasions	PASS
Ordered 1024 byte fragments, duplicate packet with an incrementing DWORD in the options field. The duplicate packet has a random payload + SMB chaff message before real messages. The chaff is a WriteAndX message with a broken write mode flag, and has random MSRPC request-like payload	PASS
Ordered 8 byte fragments, duplicate packet with an incrementing DWORD in the options field. The duplicate packet has a random payload + A chaffed NetBIOS message is sent before the first actual NetBIOS message. The chaff message is an unspecified NetBIOS message with MSRPC request like payload	PASS
Ordered 8 byte fragments, duplicate packet with an incrementing DWORD in the options field. The duplicate packet has a random payload + A chaffed NetBIOS message is sent before the first actual NetBIOS message. The chaff message is an unspecified NetBIOS message with HTTP GET request like payload	PASS
TCP Segmentation + SMB / NETBIOS Evasions	PASS
Reverse Ordered 2048 byte TCP segments, segment overlap (favor new) with random data + A chaffed NetBIOS message is sent before the first actual NetBIOS message. The chaff message is an unspecified NetBIOS message with MSRPC request like payload	PASS
TCP Split Handshake	PASS
HTTP Evasion	PASS
HTTP/0.9 response (no response headers)	PASS
Declared HTTP/0.9 response, but includes response headers; space (hex '20') after server header	PASS
HTTP/1.1 response with content-encoding header for gzip, followed by content-encoding header for deflate; no space between ':' and declaration of encoding types; served with no compression	PASS
HTTP/1.1 chunked response with chunk sizes followed by a space (hex '20'); space (hex '20') after server header	PASS
HTTP/1.1 chunked response with chunk sizes followed by a tab (hex '09'); space (hex '20') after server header	PASS
HTTP/1.1 chunked response with chunk sizes followed by an 'x' (hex '78'); space (hex '20') after server header	PASS
HTTP/1.1 chunked response with chunk sizes followed by a comma (hex '2c'); space (hex '20') after server header	PASS
HTTP/1.1 chunked response with chunk sizes followed by null character (hex '00'); space (hex '20') after server header	PASS
HTTP/1.1 chunked response with chunk sizes followed by a vertical tab (hex '0b'); space (hex '20') after server header	PASS
HTTP/1.1 chunked response with chunk sizes followed by form feed (hex '0c'); space (hex '20') after server header	PASS
HTTP/1.1 chunked response with final chunk size of '00' (hex '20 20' rather than hex '20'); space (hex '20') after server header	PASS
HTTP/1.1 chunked response with final chunk size of '0000000000000000000000' (rather than '0'); space (hex '20') after server header	PASS
HTTP/1.1 response with content-encoding declaration of "gzip x"; served uncompressed; space (hex '20') after server header	PASS
HTTP/1.1 chunked response with chunk sizes followed by a space (hex '20') then an 'x' (hex '78'); space (hex '20') after server header	PASS
HTTP/1.1 response with line folded transfer-encoding header declaring chunking ('Transfer-Encoding: ' followed by CRLF (hex '0d 0a') followed by space (hex '20') followed by 'chunked' followed by CRLF (hex '0d 0a')); served without chunking; space (hex '20') after server header	PASS
HTTP/1.1 response with transfer-encoding header declaring chunking with lots of whitespace ('Transfer- Encoding: ' followed by 500 spaces (hex '20' * 500) followed by 'chunked' followed by CRLF (hex '0d 0a')); served chunked; space (hex '20') after server header	PASS

HTTP/1.0 response with status code 100 followed by message-body; no content-length header; space (hex '20') after server header	PASS
HTTP/1.0 response with status code 206 followed by message-body; no content-length header; space (hex '20') after server header	PASS
HTTP/1.0 response with status code 304 followed by message-body; no content-length header; space (hex '20') after server header	PASS
HTTP/1.0 response with status code 404 followed by message-body; no content-length header; space (hex '20') after server header	PASS
HTTP/1.0 response with status code 500 followed by message-body; no content-length header; space (hex '20') after server header	PASS
HTTP/1.0 response with status code 600 followed by message-body; no content-length header; space (hex '20') after server header	PASS
HTTP/1.0 response with status code 900 followed by message-body; no content-length header; space (hex '20') after server header	PASS
HTTP/1.0 response declaring chunking; served without chunking; space (hex '20') after server header	PASS
HTTP/1.0 response declaring chunking with content-length header; served without chunking; space (hex '20') after server header	PASS
HTTP/1.1 response with content-length header size declaration followed by space and letter A (hex '20 41'); space (hex '20') after server header; message-body followed by junk (e.g. 'HBGIBFJ236MJXICVNGRXKRADDPXAMVOLLCCK3KXWGBOP0TKBNKQEGS7MM0EOEHDTDZIY553OGE7 WFSG8ISOYGB1B033W2S3FHX4VCL9FZ3ETCGD8LYD1A3680')	PASS
Performance	
Vendor-Claimed Performance	
Raw Packet Processing Performance (UDP Traffic)	Mbps
64-Byte Packets	43,870
128-Byte Packets	41,120
256-Byte Packets	41,980
512-Byte Packets	41,160
1024-Byte Packets	38,950
1024-Byte Packets 1514-Byte Packets	38,950 38,800
1024-Byte Packets 1514-Byte Packets Latency – UDP	38,950 38,800 Microseconds
1024-Byte Packets 1514-Byte Packets Latency – UDP 64-Byte Packets	38,950 38,800 Microseconds 9.73
1024-Byte Packets 1514-Byte Packets Latency – UDP 64-Byte Packets 128-Byte Packets	38,950 38,800 Microseconds 9.73 10.01
1024-Byte Packets 1514-Byte Packets Latency – UDP 64-Byte Packets 128-Byte Packets 256-Byte Packets	38,950 38,800 Microseconds 9.73 10.01 8.49
1024-Byte Packets 1514-Byte Packets Latency – UDP 64-Byte Packets 128-Byte Packets 256-Byte Packets 512-Byte Packets	38,950 38,800 Microseconds 9.73 10.01 8.49 8.79
1024-Byte Packets1514-Byte PacketsLatency – UDP64-Byte Packets128-Byte Packets256-Byte Packets512-Byte Packets1024-Byte Packets	38,950 38,800 Microseconds 9.73 10.01 8.49 8.79 12.93
1024-Byte Packets1514-Byte PacketsLatency – UDP64-Byte Packets128-Byte Packets256-Byte Packets512-Byte Packets1024-Byte Packets1514-Byte Packets	38,950 38,800 Microseconds 9.73 10.01 8.49 8.79 12.93 13.70
1024-Byte Packets1514-Byte PacketsLatency - UDP64-Byte Packets128-Byte Packets256-Byte Packets512-Byte Packets1024-Byte Packets1514-Byte PacketsMaximum Capacity	38,950 38,800 Microseconds 9.73 10.01 8.49 8.79 12.93 13.70
1024-Byte Packets1514-Byte PacketsLatency – UDP64-Byte Packets128-Byte Packets256-Byte Packets512-Byte Packets1024-Byte Packets1024-Byte Packets1514-Byte PacketsTheoretical Max. Concurrent TCP Connections	38,950 38,800 Microseconds 9.73 10.01 8.49 8.79 12.93 13.70 8,372,418
1024-Byte Packets1514-Byte PacketsLatency – UDP64-Byte Packets128-Byte Packets256-Byte Packets512-Byte Packets1024-Byte Packets1024-Byte Packets1514-Byte PacketsTheoretical Max. Concurrent TCP Connections w/Data	38,950 38,800 Microseconds 9.73 10.01 8.49 8.79 12.93 13.70 8,372,418 450,114
1024-Byte Packets1514-Byte PacketsLatency – UDP64-Byte Packets128-Byte Packets256-Byte Packets512-Byte Packets1024-Byte Packets1024-Byte Packets1514-Byte PacketsTheoretical Max. Concurrent TCP ConnectionsTheoretical Max. Concurrent TCP Connections w/DataMaximum TCP Connections per Second	38,950 38,800 Microseconds 9.73 10.01 8.49 8.79 12.93 13.70 8,372,418 450,114 33,000
1024-Byte Packets1514-Byte PacketsLatency – UDP64-Byte Packets128-Byte Packets256-Byte Packets512-Byte Packets1024-Byte Packets1514-Byte Packets1514-Byte PacketsTheoretical Max. Concurrent TCP ConnectionsTheoretical Max. Concurrent TCP Connections w/DataMaximum TCP Connections per SecondMaximum HTTP Connections per Second	38,950 38,800 Microseconds 9.73 10.01 8.49 8.79 12.93 13.70 8,372,418 450,114 33,000 67,490
1024-Byte Packets1514-Byte PacketsLatency – UDP64-Byte Packets128-Byte Packets256-Byte Packets512-Byte Packets1024-Byte Packets1514-Byte Packets1514-Byte PacketsMaximum CapacityTheoretical Max. Concurrent TCP ConnectionsTheoretical Max. Concurrent TCP Connections w/DataMaximum TCP Connections per SecondMaximum HTTP Connections per SecondMaximum HTTP Transactions per Second	38,950 38,800 Microseconds 9.73 10.01 8.49 8.79 12.93 13.70 8,372,418 450,114 33,000 67,490 179,600
1024-Byte Packets1514-Byte PacketsLatency – UDP64-Byte Packets128-Byte Packets256-Byte Packets512-Byte Packets1024-Byte Packets1024-Byte Packets1514-Byte PacketsMaximum CapacityTheoretical Max. Concurrent TCP ConnectionsTheoretical Max. Concurrent TCP Connections w/DataMaximum TCP Connections per SecondMaximum HTTP Connections per SecondMaximum HTTP Transactions per SecondHTTP Capacity with No Transaction Delays	38,950 38,800 Microseconds 9.73 10.01 8.49 8.79 12.93 13.70 8,372,418 450,114 33,000 67,490 179,600 CPS
1024-Byte Packets1514-Byte PacketsLatency – UDP64-Byte Packets128-Byte Packets256-Byte Packets512-Byte Packets1024-Byte Packets1024-Byte Packets1024-Byte Packets1514-Byte PacketsMaximum CapacityTheoretical Max. Concurrent TCP ConnectionsTheoretical Max. Concurrent TCP Connections w/DataMaximum TCP Connections per SecondMaximum HTTP Connections per SecondMaximum HTTP Transactions per SecondHTTP Capacity with No Transaction Delays2,500 Connections per Second – 44 KB Response	38,950 38,800 Microseconds 9.73 10.01 8.49 8.79 12.93 13.70 8,372,418 450,114 33,000 67,490 179,600 CPS 75,000
1024-Byte Packets1514-Byte PacketsLatency - UDP64-Byte Packets128-Byte Packets256-Byte Packets512-Byte Packets1024-Byte Packets1024-Byte Packets1024-Byte Packets1514-Byte PacketsMaximum CapacityTheoretical Max. Concurrent TCP ConnectionsTheoretical Max. Concurrent TCP Connections w/DataMaximum TCP Connections per SecondMaximum HTTP Connections per SecondMaximum HTTP Transactions per SecondHTTP Capacity with No Transaction Delays2,500 Connections per Second – 24 KB Response5,000 Connections per Second – 21 KB Response	38,950 38,800 Microseconds 9.73 10.01 8.49 8.79 12.93 13.70 8,372,418 450,114 33,000 67,490 179,600 CPS 75,000 91,730
1024-Byte Packets1514-Byte PacketsLatency – UDP64-Byte Packets128-Byte Packets256-Byte Packets512-Byte Packets1024-Byte Packets1024-Byte Packets1024-Byte Packets1514-Byte PacketsTheoretical Max. Concurrent TCP ConnectionsTheoretical Max. Concurrent TCP Connections w/DataMaximum TCP Connections per SecondMaximum HTTP Connections per SecondMaximum HTTP Transactions per SecondHTTP Capacity with No Transaction Delays2,500 Connections per Second – 14 KB Response10,000 Connections per Second – 10 KB Response	38,950 38,800 Microseconds 9.73 10.01 8.49 8.79 12.93 13.70 8,372,418 450,114 33,000 67,490 179,600 CPS 75,000 91,730 97,500
1024-Byte Packets 1514-Byte Packets Latency - UDP 64-Byte Packets 128-Byte Packets 256-Byte Packets 512-Byte Packets 1024-Byte Packets Maximum Capacity Theoretical Max. Concurrent TCP Connections w/Data Maximum TCP Connections per Second Maximum HTTP Connections per Second Maximum HTTP Transactions per Second HTTP Capacity with No Transaction Delays 2,500 Connections per Second – 44 KB Response 5,000 Connections per Second – 10 KB Response 10,000 Connections per Second – 4.5 KB Response	38,950 38,800 Microseconds 9.73 10.01 8.49 8.79 12.93 13.70 8,372,418 450,114 33,000 67,490 179,600 CPS 75,000 91,730 97,500 99,730
1024-Byte Packets1514-Byte Packets64-Byte Packets128-Byte Packets256-Byte Packets512-Byte Packets1024-Byte Packets1024-Byte Packets1024-Byte Packets1024-Byte PacketsMaximum CapacityTheoretical Max. Concurrent TCP Connections w/DataMaximum TCP Connections per SecondMaximum HTTP Connections per SecondMaximum HTTP Transactions per SecondMaximum HTTP Transactions per SecondMaximum HTTP Connections per SecondMaximum HTTP Connections per SecondMaximum HTTP Connections per SecondMaximum HTTP Transactions per SecondMaximum HTTP Transactions per SecondMaximum HTTP Transactions per SecondMaximum HTTP Connections per Second - 10 KB Response10,000 Connections per Second - 4.5 KB Response20,000 Connections per Second - 1.7 KB Response40,000 Connections per Second - 1.7 KB Response	38,950 38,800 Microseconds 9.73 10.01 8.49 8.79 12.93 13.70 8,372,418 450,114 33,000 67,490 179,600 CPS 75,000 91,730 97,500 99,730 103,400
1024-Byte Packets 1514-Byte Packets 64-Byte Packets 128-Byte Packets 256-Byte Packets 1024-Byte Packets 1514-Byte Packets Maximum Capacity Theoretical Max. Concurrent TCP Connections Theoretical Max. Concurrent TCP Connections w/Data Maximum TCP Connections per Second Maximum HTTP Connections per Second Maximum HTTP Transactions per Second Maximum HTTP Transactions per Second HTTP Capacity with No Transaction Delays 2,500 Connections per Second – 21 KB Response 10,000 Connections per Second – 10 KB Response 20,000 Connections per Second – 10 KB Response 20,000 Connections per Second – 1.7 KB Response 40,000 Connections per Second – 1.7 KB Response 40,000 Connections per Second – 1.7 KB Response	38,950 38,800 Microseconds 9.73 10.01 8.49 8.79 12.93 13.70 8,372,418 450,114 33,000 67,490 179,600 CPS 75,000 91,730 97,500 99,730 103,400 Milliseconds
1024-Byte Packets1514-Byte Packets64-Byte Packets128-Byte Packets256-Byte Packets512-Byte Packets1024-Byte Packets1024-Byte Packets1024-Byte Packets1024-Byte Packets1024-Byte Packets1024-Byte PacketsMaximum CapacityTheoretical Max. Concurrent TCP ConnectionsTheoretical Max. Concurrent TCP Connections w/DataMaximum TCP Connections per SecondMaximum HTTP Connections per SecondMaximum HTTP Transactions per SecondMaximum HTTP Transactions per SecondHTTP Capacity with No Transaction Delays2,500 Connections per Second – 10 KB Response10,000 Connections per Second – 1.7 KB Response40,000 Connections per Second – 1.7 KB Response2,500 Connections per Second – 1.7 KB Response2,500 Connections per Second – 4.4 KB Response2,500 Connections per Second – 4.5 KB Response20,000 Connections per Second – 4.4 KB Response	38,950 38,800 Microseconds 9.73 10.01 8.49 8.79 12.93 13.70 8,372,418 450,114 33,000 67,490 179,600 CPS 75,000 91,730 97,500 99,730 103,400 Milliseconds 2.0736
1024-Byte Packets 1514-Byte Packets 64-Byte Packets 128-Byte Packets 256-Byte Packets 1024-Byte Packets 114-Byte Packets 1024-Byte Packets 11514-Byte Packets 11615-Byte Packets 1174-Byte Packets </td <td>38,950 38,800 Microseconds 9.73 10.01 8.49 8.79 12.93 13.70 8,372,418 450,114 33,000 67,490 179,600 CPS 75,000 91,730 97,500 99,730 103,400 Milliseconds 2.0736 1.1576</td>	38,950 38,800 Microseconds 9.73 10.01 8.49 8.79 12.93 13.70 8,372,418 450,114 33,000 67,490 179,600 CPS 75,000 91,730 97,500 99,730 103,400 Milliseconds 2.0736 1.1576

20,000 Connections per Second – 4.5 KB Response	8.2029
40,000 Connections per Second – 1.7 KB Response	0.0270
HTTP CPS & Capacity with Transaction Delays	CPS
21 KB Response with Delay	91,730
10 KB Response with Delay	97,500
"Real-World" Traffic	Mbps
"Real-World" Protocol Mix (Enterprise Perimeter)	21,500
"Real-World" Protocol Mix (Financial)	6,561
"Real-World" Protocol Mix (Education)	22,370
Stability and Reliability	
Blocking Under Extended Attack	PASS
Passing Legitimate Traffic Under Extended Attack	PASS
Power Fail	PASS
Redundancy	YES
Persistence of Data	PASS
Total Cost of Ownership	
Ease of Use	
Initial Setup (Hours)	8
Time Required for Upkeep (Hours per Year)	Contact NSS
Time Required to Tune (Hours per Year)	Contact NSS
Expected Costs	
Initial Purchase (hardware as tested)	\$75,000
Installation Labor Cost (@\$75/hr)	\$600
Annual Cost of Maintenance & Support (hardware/software)	\$9,500
Annual Cost of Updates (IPS/AV/etc.)	\$12,000
Initial Purchase (centralized management system)	Contact NSS
Annual Cost of Maintenance & Support (centralized management system)	Contact NSS
Management Labor Cost (per Year @\$75/hr)	Contact NSS
Tuning Labor Cost (per Year @\$75/hr)	Contact NSS
Total Cost of Ownership	
Year 1	\$97,100
Year 2	\$21,500
Year 3	\$21,500

Figure 18 – Detailed Scorecard

Test Methodology

Next Generation Intrusion Prevention System Test Methodology v3.1

A copy of the test methodology is available on the NSS Labs website at www.nsslabs.com.

Contact Information

NSS Labs, Inc. 3711 South MoPac Expressway Building 1, Suite 400 Austin, TX 78746-8022 USA info@nsslabs.com www.nsslabs.com

This and other related documents are available at **www.nsslabs.com**. To receive a licensed copy or report misuse, please contact NSS Labs.

© 2017 NSS Labs, Inc. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, copied/scanned, stored on a retrieval system, e-mailed or otherwise disseminated or transmitted without the express written consent of NSS Labs, Inc. ("us" or "we").

Please read the disclaimer in this box because it contains important information that binds you. If you do not agree to these conditions, you should not read the rest of this report but should instead return the report immediately to us. "You" or "your" means the person who accesses this report and any entity on whose behalf he/she has obtained this report.

1. The information in this report is subject to change by us without notice, and we disclaim any obligation to update it.

2. The information in this report is believed by us to be accurate and reliable at the time of publication, but is not guaranteed. All use of and reliance on this report are at your sole risk. We are not liable or responsible for any damages, losses, or expenses of any nature whatsoever arising from any error or omission in this report.

3. NO WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED ARE GIVEN BY US. ALL IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY, FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, AND NON-INFRINGEMENT, ARE HEREBY DISCLAIMED AND EXCLUDED BY US. IN NO EVENT SHALL WE BE LIABLE FOR ANY DIRECT, CONSEQUENTIAL, INCIDENTAL, PUNITIVE, EXEMPLARY, OR INDIRECT DAMAGES, OR FOR ANY LOSS OF PROFIT, REVENUE, DATA, COMPUTER PROGRAMS, OR OTHER ASSETS, EVEN IF ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY THEREOF.

4. This report does not constitute an endorsement, recommendation, or guarantee of any of the products (hardware or software) tested or the hardware and/or software used in testing the products. The testing does not guarantee that there are no errors or defects in the products or that the products will meet your expectations, requirements, needs, or specifications, or that they will operate without interruption.

5. This report does not imply any endorsement, sponsorship, affiliation, or verification by or with any organizations mentioned in this report.

6. All trademarks, service marks, and trade names used in this report are the trademarks, service marks, and trade names of their respective owners.